

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/751,639	01/06/2004	Stacie Akpom	19288.01	3215
75	11/03/2005		EXAM	NER
Richard C. Litman			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
LITMAN LAW	OFFICES, LTD.			
P.O. Box 15035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Arlington, VA 22215			3618	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/751,639	AKPOM, STACIE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J	anuary 2004.					
,						
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
·						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 January 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
TI) The bath of declaration is objected to by the L	Xamiller. Note the attached Office	Action of 10/11/1 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/6/04 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takada (US 4,979,777 A) and in further view of Osato (US 6,764,133 B2).

With respect to claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, Takata discloses a child's audio system comprising a seat 1 with padded back wall 3 and side walls 2a, 2b, said side walls enclosing audio speakers 10, and an audio component 9, as shown in figure 1. Takata does not disclose the seat as being a stroller or having the audio component in a pocket on the rear wall. Osato does disclose a stroller with pocket 42 with flap 49 for storing an audio component 21, as shown in figures 1-8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the audio system of Takata with the stroller and pocket of Osato in order to transport the child by means of stroller and allow the parent to control the audio source from behind while pushing the stroller.

Art Unit: 3618

With respect to claims 10-12, Osato discloses wires 44 and holders 62 able to be hook and loop type fasteners, as recited in column 8, lines 39-41.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 5, and 13, the material used to form the holder and seat is not patentable unless it produces an unexpected result and therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the seat padding and pocket of the child audio system of Takata as modified by Osato of a water resistant and soft material in order to prevent damage and increase comfort.

With respect to claim 9, Osato does not explicitly recite the pocket and flap as closing by means of hook and loop fasteners. The use of hook and loop fasteners for closing pockets is well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the pocket and flap of the child audio system of Takata as modified by Osato with hook and loop fasteners in order securely close the pocket.

With respect to claim 14, the pockets of Osato can be placed anywhere on the stroller and can hold cd's or audio sources, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have placed the pocket and flap of the child audio system of Takata as modified by Osato anywhere on the stroller, including the side walls, in order to hold cd's or anything else needed.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:00-6:00), alternate Friday off:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher P. Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey J. Restifo Examiner Art Unit 3618